NEW YORK STATE RIFLE & PISTOL ASSOCIATION INC. v. BRUEN

The U.S. Supreme Court decided to overturn the longstanding New York City requirement that applicants for gun licenses must show a “special need” for self-defense. The court ruled that New York City’s requirement for applicants to demonstrate a “special need” for carrying a gun violates the Second Amendment.

—NAOMI CAHN

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION v. TED CRUZ FOR SENATE

The U.S. Supreme Court ruled that the Federal Election Commission does not have authority to require political candidates to disclose their sources of campaign contributions. The court held that the 2002 Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act does not authorize the FEC to compel disclosures of campaign contributions.

—SARAH SHALF ’01
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